

JUN 1 4 2013

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Cathie Lynn Russell P.O. Box 2646 Seward, AK 99664

RE: MUR 6602

Moore for Alaska

Dear Ms. Russell:

On June 11, 2013, the Federal Election Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint dated June 21, 2012, and found that on the basis of the information provided in your complaint, and information provided by the respondents, there is no reason to believe Matt Moore and Moore for Alaska and Carolyn H. Covington, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. Accordingly, on June 11, 2013, the Commission closed the file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009). The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding, is enclosed.

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

Sincerely,

Anthony Herman General Counsel

Deft S. Jordon / Ph/
BY: Jeff S. Jordan

Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination &
Legal Administration

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1		FACTUAL AND LEGAL	ANALYSIS
2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONDENT:	Matt Moore Moore for Alaska and Carolyn H. Covington as treasu	MUR 6602
8		DODICATON	
9 10	I. <u>INT</u>	RODUCTION	
11	This matter was generated by a complaint filed by Cathie Lynn Russell alleging		
12	violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by Matt		
13	Moore and Moore for Alaska and Carolyn H. Covington in her official capacity as treasurer (the		
14	"Respondents"). After reviewing the record, the Commission found no reason to believe that		
15	Respondents violated the Act.		
16	II. <u>FAC</u>	TUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS	
17	A. Fac	tual Background	
18	Complainant Cathie Lynn Russell alleges that Matt Moore, an unsuccessful candidate in		
19	the Democratic primary election for Alaska's at-large congressional seat, failed to file — or		
20	timely file — a Statement of Candidacy, and that his committee, Moore for Alaska and		
21	Carolyn H. Covington in her official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), failed to file		
22	disclosure reports and failed to open and designate a committee bank account.		

The Complaint also makes several allegations that are not within the Commission's jurisdiction, such as failing to file candidacy documents with the state of Alaska and failing to acquire a tax ID number from the Internal Revenue Service. See Compl. at 1. Therefore, the Commission did not make any findings concerning these issues.

1

2

19

20

B. Legal Analysis

Committee Registration and Filing Disclosure Reports

3 The Complaint asserts that Matt Moore did not register² as a candidate for the House of 4 Representatives and his Committee did not file disclosure reports for "[the] last quarter." Compl. 5 at 1. The Response asserts that Moore's Statement of Candidacy (FEC Form 2), dated April 19, 6 2012, was received by the Commission on April 26, 2012. Resp., Attach. Thus, Moore had 7 already filed a Form 2 (Statement of Candidacy), prior to the submission of the Complaint (June 8 28, 2012) in this matter. 9 A person becomes a candidate when, inter alia, he or she has received contributions or made expenditures aggregating in excess of \$5,000. 2 U.S.C. § 431(2)(A). A contribution 10 11 includes any loan made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal 12 office. 2 U.S.C. § 431(8)(A)(i). Once a person becomes a candidate, he or she must file both a 13 Statement of Candidacy within 15 days of becoming a candidate and a Statement of Organization within 10 days after designation with the Commission. See 2 U.S.C. §§ 432(e)(1), 433(a). 14 15 Based on the Committee's disclosure reports, Moore appears to have become a candidate 16 on April 23, 2012, when he loaned his Committee \$10,000. See July 2012 Quarterly Report, 17 filed July 12, 2012. Thus, the Statement of Candidacy was timely filed. See Statement of 18 Candidacy (filed April 19, 2012). The Committee also timely filed a Statement of Organization

Statement of Candidacy and Statement of Organization, as well as the Committee's activity, the

that is post-marked April 27, 2012. Resp., Attach. Therefore, due to the filing dates of the

The Complaint specifically alleges that "Matt Moore has not file with the house of representative [sic] and has no candidate id number." Compl. at 1. This allegation misconstrues the procedures for generating a candidate identification number, which is processed through the Commission once a candidate files a Form 2 (Statement of Candidavy). Moore indicated in his Response that he received his candidate identification number on May 5, 2012, after filing his Form 2 with the Commission. Resp. at 2.

13

- 1 first disclosure report that the Committee would have been required to file with the Commission
- was the July 2012 Quarterly Report, which covers the period from April 1, 2012 through June
- 3 30, 2012. Accordingly, the Committee timely filed its first required disclosure report (i.e., July
- 4 2012 Quarterly Report) with the Commission on July 12, 2012.
- 5 The Complaint also claims that without a tax identification number the Committee could
- 6 not legally open a bank account for the campaign. Compl. at 1. The Response attaches the
- 7 Committees unsigned IRS Form SS-4, which the Committee claims was its application for an
- 8 Employer Identification Number. Resp. at 1; Resp., Attach. The Act requires political
- 9 committees to designate a depository institution, which shall be listed on the Statement of
- 10 Organization. 2 U.S.C. § 431(h)(1); see also 11 C.F.R. § 102.2(a)(1)(vi). In this case, the
- banking information was reported on the Committee's Form 1 and therefore the Committee
- satisfied its statutory and regulatory requirements.

Reporting Receipts

- 14 The Complaint alleges that Matt Moore received donations prior to his state of Alaska
- 15 filing date by at least 60 days, including when he attended the "Mat-Su Dem" event in February
- 16 2012. Compl. at 1. The Complaint provides no additional information about the event or
- 17 Moore's alleged attendance. In his Response, Moore states that he "did not attend any Mat-Sn
- 18 Democratic event in February 2012," but "did attend the Egan Dinner hosted by the Mat-Su
- Democrats on March 16, 2012...." Resp. at 2. Moore states that he neither solicited nor
- accepted donations at the Egan Dinner but did introduce himself and announced that "it was [his]

According to public information, Matanuska-Susitna ("Mat Su") Democrats is "a regional organization sponsoring activities to strengthen the role of the Democratic Party within the boundaries of the Mat Su Borough, plus the communities of Peters Creek and Chugiak." http://www.matsudemocrats.org/about-us.html (last visited March 21, 2013).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1 'intention' to run . . . for Congress." *Id.* Absent any factual assertions to the contrary, there is
2 no indication that any funds were solicited or accepted by Moore at the Egan Dinner.

The Complaint further alleges that Matt Moore has "deliberately withheld reporting contributions to his campaign" in violation of the Act. Compl. at 2. In his Response, Moore states that he did not "deliberately nor willfully withhold contribution information" and refers to the Committee's disclosure reports. Resp. at 2. The Complaint provides no substantiation for these claims.

In sum, based on the Complaint, the Response, and publicly available information, it appears that Matt Moore properly registered his principal campaign committee, Moore for Alaska, with the Commission, and timely filed his Statement of Candidacy. Additionally, it appears that the Committee filed all of its required disclosure reports at issue in this case.

Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to believe that Matt Moore and Moore for Alaska and Carolyn H. Covington in her official capacity as treasurer violated the Act with regard to the allegations in this matter.

The Complaint also alleges that Moore placed an advertisement in the event booklet of the Alaska Democratic State Convention ("Convention") held from May 11-13, 2012, and that the ad was placed prior to his filing date with the state of Alaska. Compl. at 1. In its Response, the Committee submitted copies of a partial invoice and receipt, both dated May 9, 2012, which are purported to be confirmation of the ad buy purchase for the event booklet. Resp., Attach. In addition, the Committee submitted a copy of its "State of Alaska Declaration of Candidacy U.S. Congress" application, which was also filed on May 9, 2012. *Id.* Although the ad may have been placed on the same date as the Committee's state application, it is the filing date with the Commission that triggers the Act's requirements. *See* 2 U.S.C. §§ 432(e)(1), 433(a), 434(a)(2)(A)(iii).

In addition, the Complaint alleges that Moore attended the Convention with campaign materials that were designed and produced prior to his FEC fitting dete. Compl. at 2. As with the previous allegation, the Committee has provided documents confirming its registration dates with the proper entities. Resp., Attach. There is no allegation that the campaign materials were used or distributed before Moore became a candidate.